

# Smoothness Properties of Varieties of Borels and Schubert Varieties\*

David Joyner and Pablo Lejarraga<sup>†</sup>

5-16-2003

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$ ,  $T$  a fixed maximal torus in  $G$ , and  $B$  a fixed Borel subgroup containing  $T$ ,  $W$  the Weyl group of  $G$  relative to  $T$ , and  $S$  the set of simple reflections in  $W$  defined by  $(T, B)$ . We denote by  $X$  the projective variety of Borel subgroups of  $G$ . Let  $O(w)$ ,  $w \in W$ , be the orbit of  $(B, {}^wB) \in X \times X$  under the left action of  $G$ . Now we define  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ ,  $s_i \in S$ , to be the closed subvariety of  $X^{n+1}$  whose points are the sequences  $(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n)$ ,  $B_i \in X$ , where  $(B_{i-1}, B_i) \in \overline{O}(s_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . In this paper, we prove that the canonical projections

$$\pi : \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_i) \rightarrow \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1})$$

are  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundles, which implies that the variety  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is smooth over  $k$ .

All varieties or schemes and all morphisms are defined over a fixed algebraically closed field  $k$ . For the main part, the only points of a variety under consideration are the points rational over  $k$ . The context makes it clear when this is not the case. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over  $k$ ,  $T$  a fixed maximal torus, and  $B$  a fixed Borel subgroup containing  $T$ ,

---

\*MSC: 20G15; 14L30; 14M15.

<sup>†</sup>Math. Dept. USNA, Annapolis, MD USA, 21404, wdj@usna.edu, lejarrag@usna.edu

$W = N_G(T)/T$  the Weyl group of  $G$  relative to  $T$ , and  $S$  the set of simple reflections in  $W$  defined by  $(T, B)$ . We denote by  $X$  the projective variety of Borel subgroups of  $G$ . Its structure of algebraic variety is defined by the canonical  $G$ -equivariant bijection  ${}^gB = gBg^{-1} \mapsto gB$  of  $X$  onto  $G/B$ . Note that if  $w \in W$ , we can also define  ${}^wB = wBw^{-1}$  and  $wB$  in the usual way, and they do not depend on the representative  $\dot{w}$  of  $w$  chosen to define them. Let  $O(w)$ ,  $w \in W$ , be the orbit of  $(B, {}^wB) \in X \times X$  under the left action of  $G$ . The set  $O(w)$ , being locally closed ([Bor], 1.8, Proposition, p.53), defines a subvariety of  $X \times X$ . Now we define  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ ,  $s_i \in S$ , to be the closed subvariety (resp.  $O(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ ,  $s_i \in S$ , to be the subvariety) of  $X^{n+1}$  whose points are the sequences  $(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n)$ ,  $B_i \in X$ , where  $(B_{i-1}, B_i) \in \overline{O}(s_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (resp.  $(B_{i-1}, B_i) \in O(s_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). In particular, for  $n = 0$ ,  $\overline{O}() = O() = X$ .

Such varieties were first introduced by Deligne and Lusztig as a technical tool in representation theory (See [D-L], 9.1, p.147). Lately they have appeared in other contexts (e.g. [Han], [Han1]). In this paper, we prove that the canonical projections

$$\pi : \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_i) \rightarrow \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}) \quad (1)$$

are  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundles, which implies that the variety  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is smooth over  $k$ . In a special case, this result seems to be implicit in [D-L], 9.2, p.148, but a complete proof has not appeared in print. As a corollary, we obtain desingularizations of  $\overline{O}(w)$  and of the Schubert varieties considered by Demazure ([Dem]).

We call a  $\mathbf{P}^n$ -bundle a morphism of schemes  $f : E \rightarrow Y$  such that for an open covering  $U_\alpha$  of  $Y$ ,  $E|_{U_\alpha} = f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  is  $U_\alpha$ -isomorphic to  $U_\alpha \times \mathbf{P}^n$ , i.e. there is an isomorphism of  $E|_{U_\alpha}$  onto  $U_\alpha \times \mathbf{P}^n$  compatible with the projections of these two schemes onto  $U_\alpha$ . As indicated at the beginning, all schemes and morphisms are defined over  $k$ . This notion coincides with that of a locally trivial fiber space with fiber  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and structure group  $\mathbf{PGL}_{n+1}$ , the full group of automorphisms of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  ([Gro], ch.IV, 4.7).

Before proceeding, note that the projections  $\pi$  in (1) are  $G$ -equivariant with respect to the left action of  $G$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The morphism  $\pi : \overline{O(s)} \rightarrow X$ ,  $s \in S$ , is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle.*

To avoid introducing additional notation, in the course of this proof we will view  $X$  as  $G/B$  with the pertinent changes for  $\overline{O(s)}$  and  $\pi$ . Since  $\pi$  is  $G$ -equivariant, it will be enough to find a nonempty open subset  $V$  of  $G/B$  such that  $\overline{O(s)}|V$  is  $V$ -isomorphic to  $V \times \mathbf{P}^1$ . The family  $\{gV \mid g \in G\}$  gives the required covering of  $G/B$  because each  $g \in G$  induces isomorphisms  $V \rightarrow gV$ ,  $\overline{O(s)}|V \rightarrow g\overline{O(s)}|gV$ , and  $V \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow gV \times \mathbf{P}^1$  that allow us to transport the given  $V$ -isomorphism  $\overline{O(s)}|V \rightarrow V \times \mathbf{P}^1$  to a  $gV$ -isomorphism  $g\overline{O(s)}|gV \rightarrow gV \times \mathbf{P}^1$ . Let  $U$  be the unipotent part of  $B$ ,  $B'$  the Borel subgroup opposite to  $B$ , and  $U'$  its unipotent part. We have  $U \cap B' = U' \cap B = \{e\}$ .

1. The set  $U'B$ , the big cell, is open in  $G$  ([Hum], 28.5, Proposition, p.174); since it is also saturated with respect to the equivalence relation defined by  $B$  (i.e. it is a union of  $B$ -equivalence classes), the quotient  $U'B/B$  is open in  $G/B$ . We set  $V = U'B/B$  and observe that the canonical bijective quotient morphism

$$\tau : U' \rightarrow V = U'B/B$$

is an isomorphism ([Bor], 6.1, Corollary, p.95).

2. Let  $P_s = BsB \cup B$  be the minimal parabolic generated by  $B$  and  $s$  ([Hum], 29.3, Lemma B, p.178). Since  $\dim(P_s/B) = 1$ , it follows ([Hum], 25.3, Theorem p.154, or [Bor], 13.13, Proposition, p.171) that  $P_s/B \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ .

3. We need to show that

$$\pi^{-1}(V) = \{(uB, upB) \mid u \in U', p \in P\}.$$

The inclusion of the second set into the first is clear. To prove that the first set is included in the second, pick an element  $(gB, gsB)$ ,  $gB = uB$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $u \in U'$ . Then  $g = ub$ , and, setting  $p = bs$  with  $s$  a representative of  $s$ ,  $bsB = uBsB = upB$ .

4. Finally, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}(V) &\rightarrow V \times (P_s/B) \\ (uB, upB) &\mapsto (uB, pB) \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined as a set-theoretic map given that the  $u \in U'$  is uniquely determined by  $uB$ , and  $pB$  is obtained by multiplying  $upB$  by  $u^{-1}$  on the right. To prove that this map is a morphism, we first notice that

$$\pi^{-1}(V) \subset V \times (G/B).$$

Call  $\text{pr}_1$  and  $\text{pr}_2$  the projections of  $V \times G/B$  onto  $V$  and  $G/B$ ,  $\text{inv} : U' \rightarrow U'$  the inverse, i.e.  $\text{inv}(u) = u^{-1}$ , and recall that  $\tau : U' \rightarrow V$  was the canonical isomorphism. With these notations, our map becomes

$$(\text{pr}_1, (\text{inv} \circ \tau^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_1) \cdot \text{pr}_2)$$

with the domain restricted to  $\pi^{-1}(V)$  and the codomain restricted to  $V \times (G/B)$  if necessary. This proves that our map is a morphism. We leave to the reader to define the inverse in the obvious way and to show that it is a morphism. Clearly both morphisms are  $V$ -morphisms.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* We mention that  $\overline{O}(s)$  is also a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle with respect to the second projection. This can be seen easily by starting with the new pair of maximal torus  ${}^s T = T$  and Borel  ${}^s B$  instead of  $T$  and  $B$ .

In the future we want to identify

$$\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_i) = \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}) \times_X \overline{O}(s_i)$$

as schemes, where the morphisms into  $X$  defining the right hand side are respectively the last and the first projections. The reader can verify that the canonical map  $(B_0, \dots, B_i) \mapsto ((B_0, \dots, B_{i-1}), (B_{i-1}, B_i))$  is an isomorphism on the level of varieties (=reduced schemes), and the only technical point left to check is that  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}) \times_X \overline{O}(s_i)$  is reduced. This is true because this fiber product is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1})$ , being obtained from the  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle  $\overline{O}(s_i) = \overline{O}(s_i)$  by extending the base from  $X$  to  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1})$ . By induction, we can also get the identification

$$\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_i) = \overline{O}(s_1) \times_X \cdots \times_X \overline{O}(s_i)$$

with the appropriate projections. Now, combining these identifications with 1, we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *The morphism*

$$\pi : \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}),$$

$s_i \in S$ , *is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle.*

We call the sequence

$$\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \overline{O}(s_1) \rightarrow \overline{O}() = X$$

the *iterated  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle* over  $X$  corresponding to  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ .

**Corollary 4.** *The variety  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ ,  $s_i \in S$ , is irreducible and smooth of dimension  $\dim(X) + n$  over  $k$ , and  $O(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is dense open in  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ .*

We recall that, if  $E \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle, and  $Y$  is smooth over  $k$  (resp. irreducible over  $k$ ), then  $E$  is smooth over  $k$  (resp. irreducible over  $k$ ). For the irreducibility, see, for instance, [EGA], IV, 2.3.5 (iii). By considering the iterated  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over  $X$  corresponding to  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ , the theorem reduces to the fact that  $X$  itself is irreducible and smooth over  $k$ , which follows from the connectedness of  $G$  and the transitivity of the action of  $G$  on  $X$ . The assertion about the dimension is clear. The fact that  $O(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is open in  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  follows easily from the definitions. Since  $\overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is irreducible and  $O(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is nonempty and open, it is also dense.  $\square$

Now let  $w = s_1 \dots s_n$ ,  $s_i \in S$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be a *reduced decomposition* of  $w \in W$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \overline{O}(w) \\
 \searrow \varpi & & \swarrow \pi \\
 & X &
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where  $\phi$  is the morphism defined by  $\phi(B_0, \dots, B_n) = (B_0, B_n)$  (See [D-L], 9.1, p.148 and [D-L], 1.2(a), p.106), and  $\varpi$  and  $\pi$  are the first projections. In the following corollary, by *desingularization*, we mean with Grothendieck ([EGA], IV, 7.9.1) a proper birational morphism (consequently surjective) of a nonsingular variety into another, possibly singular, variety.

**Corollary 5.** *With the notations above, always assuming that  $w = s_1 \dots s_n$  is a reduced decomposition, we have:*

- (i) *The morphism  $\phi : \overline{O}(s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow \overline{O}(w)$  is a desingularization.*
- (ii) *For any  $x \in X$ , the restriction  $\phi_x : \varpi^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(x)$  of  $\phi$  to the fibers of  $\varpi$  and  $\pi$  over  $x$  is a desingularization.*

(i) This result is known and appears in a more precise form in [D-L], 9.1, p.148. We sketch an argument in the present context. It is clear that the morphism  $\phi$  is proper, being a morphism of projective varieties. Moreover  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism  $\phi^0 : O(s_1, \dots, s_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} O(w)$  since  $w = s_1 \dots s_n$  is a reduced decomposition ([D-L], p.106). The rest follows from Corollary 4.

(ii) As in (i), the morphism  $\phi_x$  is proper, being a morphism of projective varieties. The commutative diagram (2) restricts to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O(s_1, \dots, s_n) & \xrightarrow{\phi^0} & O(w) \\ & \searrow \varpi^0 & \swarrow \pi^0 \\ & & X \end{array}$$

In this situation, the restriction  $\phi_x^0 : (\varpi^0)^{-1} \rightarrow (\pi^0)^{-1}$  of  $\phi^0$  to the fibers over  $x$  is also an isomorphism. On the other hand

$$(\varpi^0)^{-1}(x) = \varpi^{-1}(x) \cap O(s_0, \dots, s_n)$$

is nonempty and open, and consequently dense in  $\varpi^{-1}(x)$ . Similarly

$$(\pi^0)^{-1}(x) = \pi^{-1}(x) \cap O(w)$$

is nonempty and open, and consequently dense in  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 6.* For  $w \in W$ , let  $S(w)$  be the image of the Bruhat cell  $C(w) = BwB$  under the morphism  $G \rightarrow G/B \rightarrow X$ ,  $g \mapsto gB \mapsto gBg^{-1}$ . The closure  $\overline{S(w)}$  is called the *Schubert variety* corresponding to  $w$ . Then  $S(w) = \{b^w B \mid b \in B\}$  and, if  $x_B = B \in X$ ,

$$(\pi^0)^{-1}(x_B) = \{({}^g B, {}^{gw} B) \mid {}^g B = B\} = \{B\} \times S(w).$$

Thus we can identify  $\overline{S(w)} = \pi^{-1}(x_B)$  and we can regard the morphism  $\phi_{x_B}$  as a desingularization of the Schubert variety  $\overline{S(w)}$ .

## References

- [Bor] A. Borel, **Linear algebraic groups**, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed, Springer-Verlag, 1991
- [D-L] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, "Representations of reductive groups over finite fields," Ann. Math. 103(1976)103-161
- [Deb] O. Debarre, **Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry**, Springer-Verlag, 2001

- [Dem] M. Demazure, “Désingularisation des variétés Schubert généralisées”,  
Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 7(1974)53-88
- [Gro] A. Grothendieck, **A General Theory of Fibre Spaces with Structure Sheaf**, Univ. of Kansas, 1955
- [EGA] J. Dieudonné and A. Grothendieck, **Eléments de géométrie algébrique**, IHES 4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32, 1960-1967.
- [EGAI] J. Dieudonné and A. Grothendieck, **Eléments de géométrie algébrique**, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Springer, 1971
- [Han] S. H. Hansen, “The geometry of Deligne-Lusztig varieties; Higher-Dimensional AG codes,” thesis, Aarhus University, 1999
- [Han1] S. H. Hansen, “Canonical bundles of Deligne-Lusztig varieties,”  
Manu. Math. 98(1999)363-375
- [Han2] ———, “Error-correcting codes from higher dimensional varieties,”  
Finite Fields and Appl. 7(2001)530-552  
Springer-Verlag, 1977
- [Hum] J. E. Humphreys, **Linear Algebraic Groups**, Springer, 1975
- [Spr1] T. A. Springer, **Linear algebraic groups**, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., Birkäuser, Boston, 1983
- [Spr2] ———, **Linear algebraic groups**, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Birkäuser, Boston, 1998