

A question about tropical $\text{Pic}(X)$ as a G -module

A. Ksir, C. Melles, D. Joyner

7-28-2008

Abstract

This paper addresses the following question: Let X be a tropical curve and let G be a finite subgroup of the automorphism group of X . Let D be a divisor and assume that its equivalence class $[D]$ is G -invariant.

Question: Is there always a $D' \in [D]$ which is G -invariant?

This was answered by Goldstein, Guralnick, and Joyner [GGJ] in the case of an irreducible algebraic curve over an algebraically closed field. We try to extend the arguments of [GGJ] to the tropical case. For instance, in the tropical case, we prove a tropical analog of Hilbert's Theorem 90. For our main result, we show that the answer to the above question is "yes" for all tropical curves.

1 Introduction

Let X be a connected tropical curve and let G denote a (finite) subgroup of the automorphism group of X .

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$.

Let $M(X)$ denote the (tropical) ring of *rational functions* on X , i.e., continuous piecewise-linear \mathbb{T} -valued functions with integral slopes. Let $M(X)^\sharp$ denote those functions which are not equal to $\pm\infty$ on any part.

Let $\text{Div}(X)$ denote the group of divisors (the free abelian group generated by the points of X) and call its elements the *divisor classes* of X . Define the map $\text{div} : M(X)^\sharp \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ by $\text{div}(f) = \sum_{P \in X} \text{ord}_P(f) \cdot P$, where $\text{ord}_P(f)$ is the sum of all slopes of f for all edges emanating from P . Let

$$\operatorname{div} : M(X)^\# \rightarrow \operatorname{Div}(X),$$

let $\operatorname{Prin}(X) = \operatorname{div}(M(X)^\#)$ denote the subgroup of *principal divisors*, and let $\operatorname{Pic}(X) = \operatorname{Div}(X)/\operatorname{Prin}(X)$ denote the *Picard group* of S -divisor classes. The quotient map $\operatorname{Div}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is sometimes denoted $D \mapsto [D]$.

For background on tropical rings, see for example Mikhalkin [M1].

Lemma 1 (“*Linear independence of characters*”) *Let $S \subset G$ be any non-empty subset, provided with a fixed ordering. For any $(c_g \mid g \in S) \in M(X)^S$, c_g invertible, there is an $f \in M(X)$ such that*

$$\sum_{g \in S} c_g g(f)$$

is invertible.

We cannot follow the argument of Dedekind (who proved this first in the case of fields, as in Rotman [R], Proposition 4.30), since for the tropical algebra $M(X)$ the cancellation law for addition fails.

proof: This is actually an easy and straightforward consequence of the definitions. \square

2 Cohomology

The basic idea is to use group cohomology to attack this question. For background on cohomology, we reference Serre [S], ch. VII, or the survey [J].

Let S be a slope group and let $M = M(X)^\#$. The group G acts on the (tropical-multiplicative) abelian group M via its action on X .

Recall that the 1-*cocycles* on G with coefficients in M are defined by

$$Z^1(G, M) = \{f : G \rightarrow M \mid \forall g_1, g_2 \in G, f(g_1)g_1 f(g_2) = f(g_1 g_2)\},$$

the 1-*coboundaries* by

$$B^1(G, M) = \{f : G \rightarrow M \mid \exists m \in M : \forall g \in G, f(g) = gm \cdot m^{-1}\},$$

and the 1-*cohomology* by

$$H^1(G, M) = Z^1(G, M)/B^1(G, M).$$

The following result is roughly analogous to Hilbert's Theorem 90.

Proposition 2 $H^1(G, M(X)^\sharp) = 0$.

proof: Let $M = M(X)^\sharp$ and pick $f \in Z^1(G, M)$. We assume for this proof that there is a $\phi \in M$ such that $y = \sum_{g \in G} f(g)g(\phi)$ is invertible. (Over a field this is the “linear independence of characters.”) We compute

$$h(y) = \sum_{g \in G} hf(g)hg(\phi) = \sum_{g \in G} f(hg)^{-1}f(hg)hg(\phi) = f(h)^{-1}y.$$

Therefore a cocycle is a coboundary. \square

Here is a proof that $H^1(G, M(X)^\sharp \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

Let $M = M(X)^\sharp \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, $f \in Z^1(G, M)$ represent a class in $H^1(G, M)$, so $f(g) = f(gx)gf(x)^{-1}$. Taking the product over all $x \in G$, this implies $f(g)^{|G|} = (\prod_{x \in G} f(x)) \cdot g(\prod_{x \in G} f(x))^{-1}$. Taking (tropical) $|G|$ -th roots (since we've tensored by \mathbb{Q} taking $|G|$ -th roots is allowed), $f(g) = m \cdot gm^{-1}$, where $m = \prod_{x \in G} f(x)^{1/|G|} \in M_{\mathbb{Z}(\langle G \rangle)}(X)^\sharp$. This implies $f(g)^{-1} \in B^1(G, M)$. Since $B^1(G, M)$ is an abelian group, $f(g) \in B^1(G, M)$, so the class which f represents is trivial.

Lemma 3 *We have a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules,*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow M(X)^\sharp \rightarrow \text{Prin}(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where d is the number of leaves minus the number of non-terminal vertices adjacent to a leaf.

Remark 1 • *If X is compact then $d = 0$.*

- *This is the tropical analog of the well-known short exact sequences*

$$1 \rightarrow F^\times \rightarrow F(X)^\times \rightarrow \text{Prin}(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

for an irreducible non-singular algebraic curve X over an algebraically closed field F .

proof: The order of a function f at a point is the sum of the outgoing slopes. So for f to be in the kernel, it must have the the sum of the slopes at each point equal to 0.

First, look at the metric graph of X without its leaves. This is a compact set, so f must take a maximum or minimum somewhere. But at the point where the minimum is attained, all outgoing slopes are greater than or equal to 0. Therefore, f must be constant on this part of the graph.

Second, if there multiple leaves at one vertex then the slope of f must sum to 0 at that vertex. Otherwise, the slopes can be arbitrary. \square

Recall that the 2-cocycles on G with coefficients in M are defined by

$$Z^2(G, M) = \{f : G \times G \rightarrow M \mid \forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G, \\ g_1 f(g_2, g_3) f(g_1 g_2, g_3)^{-1} f(g_1, g_2 g_3) f(g_1, g_2)^{-1} = 1\},$$

the 2-coboundaries¹ by

$$B^2(G, M) = \{f : G \times G \rightarrow M \mid \exists h : G \rightarrow M : \\ \forall g_1, g_2 \in G, f(g_1, g_2) = h(g_1) g_1 h(g_2) h(g_1 g_2)^{-1}\},$$

and the 2-cohomology by

$$H^2(G, M) = Z^2(G, M) / B^2(G, M).$$

By definition, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Prin}(X) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules. The covariant functor of G -invariants, $M \mapsto H^0(G, M) = M^G$ is left exact. Therefore, for $M = M(X)^\sharp$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\rightarrow H^0(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow H^0(G, M) \rightarrow H^0(G, \text{Prin}(X)) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow H^1(G, M) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X)) \rightarrow \\ &H^2(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus S^d) \rightarrow H^2(G, M) \rightarrow H^2(G, \text{Prin}(X)) \rightarrow \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and

¹It is straightforward to check that $B^2(G, M) \subset Z^2(G, M)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\rightarrow H^0(G, \text{Prin}(X)) \rightarrow H^0(G, \text{Div}(X)) \rightarrow H^0(G, \text{Pic}(X)) \\
&\rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X)) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Div}(X)) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X)) \rightarrow \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The following result is a corollary of Proposition 2.

Corollary 4 *For $M = M(X)^\sharp$ we have exact sequences*

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d)^G \rightarrow M^G \rightarrow \text{Prin}(X)^G \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X)) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow \dots$$

3 Tropical curves

Let X be a connected tropical curve. The following computation will not be needed for our main result.

Proposition 5 $H^1(G, \text{Div}(X)) = 0$.

Remark 2 • *The analogous result for algebraic curves is proven in [GGJ] and the proof below follows the same idea.*

- *Much of the proof below (but not all) goes through for $H^2(G, \text{Div}(X))$ as well.*

proof: Let GX denote the set of all orbits of points of X under the action of G . Let GX/G denote a complete set of representatives in X of these orbits. For each $P \in X$, let $G_P = \{g \in G \mid gP = P\}$ denote its stabilizer. We have a direct sum decomposition

$$\text{Div}(X) = \bigoplus_{P \in GX/G} \bigoplus_{g \in G/G_P} \mathbb{Z}[gP].$$

Let

$$X_{\text{ram}} = \{P \in X \mid G_P \neq 1\},$$

$$X_{\text{unram}} = \{P \in X \mid G_P = 1\}.$$

Let $\text{Div}(X)_{ram}$ and $\text{Div}(X)_{unram}$ denote the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -submodules of $\text{Div}(X)$, so $\text{Div}(X) = \text{Div}(X)_{unram} \oplus \text{Div}(X)_{ram}$. (Unlike for the algebraic curve analog, $\text{Div}(X)_{ram}$ can have infinite rank.)

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Div}(X)_{unram} &= \bigoplus_{P \in (GX/G)_{unram}} \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathbb{Z}[gP] \\ &= \bigoplus_{g \in G} \bigoplus_{P \in (GX/G)_{unram}} \mathbb{Z}[gP], \end{aligned}$$

so $\text{Div}(X)_{unram}$ is induced in the sense of Serre [S], page 110. This implies $H^1(G, \text{Div}(X)_{unram}) = 0$, by Prop. 1 in §VII.2 of [S].

Recall $H^1(G, L_1 \oplus L_2) = H^1(G, L_1) \oplus H^1(G, L_2)$, for any G -modules L_1, L_2 . Suppose that $H^1(G, \text{Div}(X)_{ram}) \neq 0$ and let $f \in Z^1(G, \text{Div}(X)_{ram})$ denote a non-trivial cocycle. Since G is finite, f is supported on finitely many points. Let \mathcal{P} denote the G -orbit of these points and let L denote the sublattice of $\text{Div}(X)_{ram}$ generated by \mathcal{P} . There is no harm in assuming that G is transitive on the basis of L (L is a direct sum of such lattices). So, let $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G/G_P} \mathbb{Z}[gP]$, for some $P \in GX/G$. So $L \cong \text{ind}_H^G(\mathbb{Z})$, where $H \cong G_P$ is the stabilizer of one of the basis elements. Here G acts on the induced module

$$\text{ind}_H^G(\mathbb{Z}) = \{f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mid f(hg) = hf(g), \forall h \in H, g \in G\},$$

which are just the \mathbb{Z} -valued functions on $H \backslash G$, by right multiplication. By Shapiro's Lemma (Shatz [Sh], Theorem 8, page 31), $H^1(G, \text{ind}_H^G(\mathbb{Z})) \cong H^1(H, \mathbb{Z})$. Now $H^1(H, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, since H is finite. \square

Corollary 6 *The map*

$$\text{Pic}(X)^G = H^0(G, \text{Pic}(X)) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X))$$

is surjective.

Remark 3 *Claim: If G is abelian then $H^2(G, M(X)^\# \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial.*

proof of claim: First, assume G is cyclic and let $M = M(X)^\# \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then $H^2(G, M) = M^G/NM$, where $N : M \rightarrow M$ is the norm map. Since (tropical) $|G|$ -th roots in M always exist, N is surjective, so $H^2(G, M)$ is trivial in this case.

In general, G is a product of cyclic groups. In this case, the result follows by induction and the inflation-restriction sequence $0 \rightarrow H^2(G/H, A^H) \rightarrow H^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(H, A)$. \square

Remark 4 • *In the case of an algebraic curve, $H^2(G, F^\times(X)) = 1$ by Tsen's theorem (a function field over an algebraically closed field is a C^1 field; see the Corollaries on pages 96 and 109 of Shatz [Sh], or §4 and §7 of chapter X in [S]). An analog of Tsen's theorem for tropical curves would be the computation of $H^2(G, M(X)^\sharp)$. Such an analog, if it exists, would be very interesting and useful.*

- *In the case of an algebraic curve, $H^1(G, F(X)^\times) = 1$, by Hilbert's Theorem 90. A tropical curve analog of Hilbert's Theorem 90 is Proposition 2.*

Proposition 7 $H^2(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d) \cong H^2(G, \mathbb{Z})^r$, where r is the number of reduced orbits of G on the set of leaves of X .

In particular, if X is compact then $H^2(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d) = 0$.

proof: By Rotman [R] Proposition 10.119, we have $|G| \cdot H^2(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d) = 0$. Since $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d$ is torsion-free, this means that if $f \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d)$ then $|G| \cdot f \in B^2(G, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d)$. Since \mathbb{Z}^d is, as a G -module, the direct sum of r induced modules, each of the form $\text{ind}_H^G(\mathbb{Z})$, by Shapiro's lemma, $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}^d) \cong H^2(G, \mathbb{Z})^r$. \square

Corollary 8 $H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X)) = 0$.

proof: The tropical curve decomposes into a disjoint union of a compact tropical curve X_c and its leaves X_{nc} . The G -action respects this decomposition, and moreover, as G -modules, we have

$$\text{Prin}(X) = \text{Prin}(X_c) \oplus \text{Prin}(X_{nc}).$$

Since on the leaves, all divisors are principal, we have (as a corollary of the proof of Proposition 5), $H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X_{nc})) = 0$. On the other hand, in the compact case, we have $H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X_c)) = 0$, due to previous Proposition 7 and Corollary 4. Since $H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X)) = H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X_c)) \oplus H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X_{nc}))$, the result follows. \square

The following is our main result and implies that the answer to the question raised in the introduction is “yes” for all tropical curves.

Theorem 9 *If X is compact then*

$$\text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)^G \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)^G$$

is surjective

proof: By the above corollary, $H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X)) = 0$. The result now follows from (2). \square

Consider $\text{Pic}^0(X)$, the subgroup of $\text{Pic}(X)$ of degree 0 divisors (i.e. the Jacobian). The degree map deg defined on $\text{Div}(X)$ factors through $\text{Pic}(X)$. Let B be $\text{deg}(\text{Div}(X)^G)$. We identify this with a subgroup of $S \cong \text{Pic}(X)/\text{Pic}^0(X)$. Clearly, B contains $|G| \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ and may be bigger.

The analog of Proposition 5 is the following result.

Lemma 10 $H^1(G, \text{Div}^0(X)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/B$ and $B = b\mathbb{Z}$, where $b = \min \{|G|/|I| \mid I \subset G \text{ a stabilizer subgroup}\}$.

Remark 5 *The analogous result for algebraic curves is also true and the proof below, is basically the same argument as that given in [GGJ].*

proof: First, we prove the isomorphism. Consider the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$. The map from $\text{Div}(X)$ to \mathbb{Z} is deg . Using Proposition 5 yields:

$$(0 \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(X)^G \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)^G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Div}^0(X)) \rightarrow 0,$$

as asserted.

Now we prove the claim about B . Observe that the smallest possible degree of a divisor fixed by G is the size of the smallest orbit of G acting on X . Since each inertia group I is the stabilizer of a point P , the orbit of P under G is in one-to-one correspondence with G/I . Therefore, the size of the smallest possible degree of a divisor fixed by G is equal to the minimum of the $|G|/|I|$, as I ranges over all the inertia subgroups. Since $d(\text{Div}(X)^G)$ is an abelian group, this proves the claim. \square

Now consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Prin}(X) \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking fixed points leads to the long exact sequence for cohomology:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Prin}(X)^G \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(X)^G \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Pic}^0(X)^G \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Prin}(X)) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Div}^0(X)) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Pic}^0(X)).$$

References

- [GGJ] D. Goldstein, R. Guralnick, D. Joyner, *A question about $\text{Pic}(X)$ as a G -module*, (**Computational Aspects of Algebraic Curves**, (Editor: T. Shaska) Lecture Notes in Computing, WorldScientific, 2005.) Available at:
<http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.AG/0407036>
- [GK] A. Gathmann, M. Kerber, *A Riemann-Roch theorem in tropical geometry*, Available at:
<http://aps.arxiv.org/abs/math/0612129>
- [J] D. Joyner, *A primer on computational group homology and cohomology*, To appear in the Proceedings of the Gaglione conference, entitled **Aspects of Infinite Groups** (ed. Ben Fine), published by World Scientific Press. This was abridged for publication. A longer version is available at:
<http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/0706.0549>
- [M1] G. Mikhalkin, *Tropical geometry and its applications*, Available at:
<http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0601041>
- [MZ] ——— and I. Zharkov, *Tropical curves, their Jacobians and theta functions*, Available at:
<http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.AG/0612267v2>
- [R] J. Rotman, **Advanced modern algebra**, Prentice Hall, 2002.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, **Local fields**, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [Sh] S. Shatz, **Profinite groups, arithmetic, and geometry**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1972.